## Lecture 4:

# CMOS Gates, Capacitance, and Switch-Level Simulation 

Mark Horowitz<br>Modified by Azita Emami<br>Computer Systems Laboratory<br>Stanford University<br>azita@stanford.edu

## Overview

## Reading

W\&E 1.5.5, Wolf 3.1-3.3.3, Complex Gates
W\&E 4.3 Capacitance (this is very detailed, more than we need)
irsim, irsim tutorial

## Introduction

Last lecture we built simple NAND and NOR gates. In fact, we can use switch networks to build a gate that implements any boolean function. The key is to realize a CMOS gate is just two switch networks, one to Vdd and one to Gnd. Practically, the kinds of gates that you can construct are limited by the need for stacks of series transistors, and their effect on gate performance. To better understand these issues we next look at capacitance, where it comes from, and how it affects the performance of gates (provides memory, and delay). The last part of the lecture will describe a method of simulating transistor level designs to ensure correctness. The homework will explore this further.

## CMOS Gates

To build a logic gate $\bar{f}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, need to build two switch networks:

The pulldown network connects the output to Gnd when $f$ is true.
 constraints on the two switch networks is just what we talked about for switch logic. The output must be driven ( $f+\bar{f}=1$ ), and there can't be conflicts ( $f$ * $\bar{f}=0$ )
Pullup

$$
\beta\left(\bar{x}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{n}\right)=\bar{f}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \quad \text { (since pMOS invert inputs) }
$$

## CMOS Gate Examples

## CMOS NAND and NOR gates

- Need to implement $f$ using ( $x$ ) and $\bar{f}$ using ( $\bar{x}$ )
- Series pulldown -> parallel pullup, parallel pulldown-> series pullup

- Easier to build $N$ tree first, because easy to forget $P$ tree inverts inputs.


## Gates

```
The pullup network and pulldown network are duals of each other
    Dual of a function:
    Exchange AND and ORs
    Example Duals
    A B ; A + B
    (A +B ) C ; (A B) + C
For switch networks
AND = series switches
OR = parallel switches
So
Parallel pulldown, serial pullup and vice versa
Why?
```


## De Morgan's Law / Duality

## Remember DeMorgan's Law?

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{(a+b)} & =\bar{a} \bar{b} \\
\overline{(a b)} & =\bar{a}+\bar{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

More generally the complement of a function can be obtained by replacing each variable / element with its complement, and exchanging the AND and OR operations
One of the most useful rules in boolean algebra
Can apply to arbitrarily complex expressions.
If element is not a single variable, then apply recursively to the expressions:

$$
\overline{(\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}) \mathrm{C}}=\overline{(\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B})}+\overline{\mathrm{C}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\overline{\mathrm{A}} & \overline{\mathrm{~B}})+\overline{\mathrm{C}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
\overline{(A B)+(C D)}=\overline{(A B)} \quad \overline{(C D)}=(\bar{A}+\bar{B})(\bar{C}+\bar{D})
$$

## CMOS Gates

The pullup and pulldown switch networks are complements
Since $\bar{f}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\operatorname{dUAL}\{f\}\left(\bar{x}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{n}\right)$, and pMOS invert inputs
$\alpha\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is dual of $\beta\left(\bar{x}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{n}\right)$
Example of a complex gate - $\overline{\mathrm{A}^{\star}(\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C})}$


Notice that there are no real required ratio rules in CMOS; the pMOS transistors never fight against the nMOS transistors. But resistance is still an issue with the performance of the gate, and so you usually want the pulldown and pullup resistances to be similar. This resistance is also why gates with a large number (>3) of series devices are bad.

Either pullup or pulldown will have stack height of about \# the of inputs

## Stick Layout

Layout of $\overline{A^{*}(B+C)}$


## + Transistor Sizing in Static CMOS

Attempt to equalize pullup and pulldown resistance.


Sizing here only influences delay, not functionality. So, it can be varied.

## Complex Gates

In theory can build any logic function in a single gate

- Take the complement of the function
- Build a switch network out of nMOS devices and connect between Gnd and Out
- Build the dual switch network out of pMOS devices and connect between Vdd and Out

In practice the number of gate types is limited

- Want a finite number of gate types (need to design/test/layout them)
- One complex gate can be SLOWER than a couple smaller gates.

Let's try to understand why this might be so...

## Circuits

## Resistance

- Relates current to voltage (V = IR)
- Wider transistors have lower resistance
- Series structures are not good for speed since the resistance of a series switch network is the sum of the transistor resistances.

But resistance is only part of the stuff you need to model circuits. The other important property is capacitance.

Capacitance

- Relates charge to voltage ( $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{CV}$ )
- Exists between any two conductors
- Causes delay in circuits ( $\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{RC}$ ) and data storage (memory)


## Capacitance Equations

Capacitors store charge

$$
\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{CV}<-\quad \text { charge is proportional to the voltage on a node }
$$

This equation can be put in a more useful form

$$
i=\frac{d Q}{d t} \Rightarrow i=C \frac{d V}{d t} \Rightarrow \frac{C \Delta V}{i}=\Delta t
$$

So to change the value of node (from 0 to 1 for example), the transistor or gate that is driving that node must charge (up, in our example) the capacitance associated with that node. The larger the capacitance, the larger the required charge, and the longer it will take to switch the node.

Since the current (i) through a transistor is approximately $V / R_{\text {trans }}$

$$
\Delta t=\frac{C \Delta V}{i}=\frac{C \Delta V}{V / R}=R_{\text {trans }} C
$$

## Simple Delay Model

- Delay measured from $50 \%$ crossing point on input and output swings, because need the same point to allow additive composition of delays.
- Define $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{sq}}$ of a transistor so RC gives the right delay values

- For our $1 \mu$ technology, nMOS $=13 \mathrm{~K} \Omega * \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{pMOS}=26 \mathrm{~K} \Omega * \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{W}$


## Load Capacitance

$\mathrm{C}_{\text {load }}$ comes from three factors:

1. Gate capacitance of driven transistors.
2. Diffusion capacitance of source/drain regions connected to the wire.
3. Wire capacitance

Today, a $2 \mu$ technology is the really cheap technology that students use, and advanced processes are running at $0.5 \mu$ to $0.25 \mu$. We will use $1 \mu$ technology numbers for this class. ${ }^{1}$ This technology is different from the numbers in the book.

The ratio of the various numbers does not change much with technology, but the absolute numbers do vary. You should always find the correct numbers for the technology that you will use before starting a design. And, since you don't want to extract the $\mathrm{C}_{\text {load }}$ numbers by hand, make sure that the CAD tools have the right numbers too.

[^0]
## + Calculating the Value of Capacitance

Two simple models

- Parallel Plate
- Cylindrical

The capacitance of most real objects can be approximated by a combination of these two factors.

- Parallel Plate ${ }^{1}$


Fixed by technology

$$
\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}_{\text {per_square_micron }} * \mathrm{~W} * \mathrm{~L}
$$



1. The capacitance can be found by solving Laplace's equation. For an infinite parallel plate capacitor, the E-field does not vary in the vertical direction, and hence the voltage is proportional to the thickness.

## + Cylindrical Capacitance

This is the model of capacitance between two cylinders ${ }^{1}$


This gives a capacitance that is proportional to length. It is also not very sensitive to the ratio of b/a, so making b much larger than a still does not reduce the capacitance much.
This type of capacitance can be used to model fringing fields of wires this is the capacitance from the edge of the wire.

[^1]

So, wires have two components to capacitance, one that is proportional to the wire's area, and the other proportional to the wire's perimeter. For minimum width metal wires, the edge component is much larger than the area component, so forgetting the edge is a large error.

The area capacitance depends on the thickness of the oxide between the capacitor plates, and that thickness depends on what is below it.


## + Coupling Capacitance

Capacitance is mostly between two wires, not between a wire and ground


Coupling capacitance makes analysis more complex:

- It creates noise issues
- 'a' changing will cause noise on 'b'
- It makes delay calculations harder
- If 'a' and 'b' transition at the same time in same direction
- $\Delta \mathrm{V}$ across the cap will be zero, and it won't affect the delay
- If ' $a$ ' and ' $b$ ' transition at the same time in opposite direction
- $\Delta \mathrm{V}$ across the cap will be 2 V , and it will look like a grounded cap of 2 C


## Wire Capacitance

Most CAD systems have tools that take care of all this complexity by using large tables of numbers, one for each type of legal layer crossing. The tools take the capacitance numbers, multiply by the correct area and perimeter coefficients and then add all the numbers together.

That is far too much work for us to do by hand. Also it requires that the layout be finished to get the capacitance numbers. We often want to estimate the capacitance numbers to size transistors from either crude layout, or layout estimates.

Since most of the wires are minimum width, we will use an effective capacitance per running micron of length, assuming an average number of wire crossings. This number will include both the area (plate) and perimeter (fringe) capacitance terms. Diffusion is treated almost the same, but the width for diffusion we assume to be the extension of a transistor drain, and the length should therefore be the width of the transistor. This assumes that diffusion is only used to make a connection to a transistor, which is normally the case since the diffusion capacitance is so large.

## Simple Capacitance Numbers

Want to have numbers that make it easy to estimate the capacitance

- Want the estimates to depend on the fewest number of parameters
- Willing to make some approximations

For wires

- Most wires are minimum width
- Large edge component of capacitance anyhow
- So makes sense to measure capacitance per unit length

For transistors

- Gate length is usually minimum ( $2 \lambda, 1 \mu$ ), width varies
- Diffusion region kept small, size depends on transistor width
- Give cap of these region as capacitance per unit transistor width



## Rule of Thumb Capacitance Table

| Transistor Cap | Capacitance per $\mu$ of <br> transistor $W$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| gate (poly over diff) | $\mathbf{2 . 0} \mathbf{f F} / \mu$ |
| ndiff (5 or $6 \lambda$ wide) | $\mathbf{2 . 0} \mathbf{f F} / \mu$ |
| pdiff (5 or $6 \lambda$ wide) | $\mathbf{2 . 0} \mathbf{f F} / \mu$ |


| Wire Cap | Capacitance per <br> unit length | Length when <br> C $=\mathrm{C}_{\text {inv }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| poly wiring | $\mathbf{0 . 2 \mathrm { fF } / \mu}$ | $40 \mu$ |
| metal1 (3 or 4 $\lambda$ wide) | $\mathbf{0 . 3 \mathrm { fF } / \mu}$ | $27 \mu \sim 30 \mu$ |
| metal2 (3 or $4 \lambda$ wide) | $\mathbf{0 . 2 ~ f F} / \mu$ | $40 \mu$ |

$C_{\text {inv }}$ is 8 fF , the input capacitance of a $4 \lambda: 2 \lambda$ nMOS, $4 \lambda: 2 \lambda$ pMOS inverter

## Example



Node A: 2 diffusion regions each $2 \mu(4 \lambda)$, 2 gate regions each $2 \mu, 16 \mu$ M1 (12 $\lambda$ vertical, $20 \lambda$ horizontal), $12 \lambda$ poly $=2^{*} 2 \mu^{*} 2 \mathrm{fF} / \mu+2^{*} 2 \mu^{*} 2 \mathrm{fF} / \mu+16 \mu * 0.3 \mathrm{fF} / \mu+$ $6 \mu^{*} 0.2 \mathrm{fF} / \mu=8 \mathrm{fF}+8 \mathrm{fF}+4.8 \mathrm{fF}+1.2 \mathrm{fF}=22 \mathrm{fF}$

## Timing Example

Assume that all transistors are $4: 2 \lambda$


40fF includes the diffusion and gate cap

When the 'in' rises, 'a' will fall:
delay $=R C=13 \mathrm{~K} / 2$ * 40fF $=0.26 \mathrm{~ns}$ (nMOS transistor is on)
When 'a' falls 'b' will rise:
delay $=\mathrm{RC}=26 \mathrm{~K} / 2$ * 40fF $=0.52 \mathrm{~ns}$ ( pMOS transistor is on)
Total delay from 'in' to 'b' $=0.26 \mathrm{~ns}+0.52 \mathrm{~ns}=0.8 \mathrm{~ns}$

## Dynamic Charge Storage

What happens to the value on node 'a' when the switch disconnects?


When the switch is off, the current driving the capacitor is zero

- $\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{CdV} / \mathrm{dt}$
$\mathrm{dV} / \mathrm{dt}=0$, so the voltage remains unchanged
- The value remains unchanged

That is, when you stop driving a node its value remains unchanged, and remains almost the same until it is driven again. This is the good part of capacitance.

## + Charge Leakage

There is no leakage current from the gate of a MOS transistor but the source/drain terminals do have a small leakage current.

Leakage current is very small, usually picoAmps

- Charge will leak away, but very slowly

Storage times are usually about 1 second at Room Temp

- Leakage is temp sensitive

Doubles every $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
10 ms at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Leakage is much slower than the clock rate. Dynamic store is ok, if the node is reloaded every few clocks. If you can't guarantee when you will reload the storage node, you had better use a different storage element.

Make sure you don't build these storage elements by accident (by leaving a node floating)

## Latches

A simple dynamic latch


We will talk more about this later
A switch can be made by using a full CMOS transmission gate

- No degraded levels (like from using just a single nMOS pass transistor)
- But two control signals needed


## Problems with Transistor Switch Circuits

If you obey all the rules for switch logic, the circuits generally work well.

- Make sure there are no floating outputs, no drive conflicts
- Sometimes you make errors ...

Switches are bidirectional

- Generally, designers would like to think that information only flows one direction, but the transistors don't care about the intent.
- Leads to errors, some pretty subtle because they all depend on the capacitance ratio.
- Look at two problems with capacitance

Charge sharing (pure)
Charge sharing (driven)

## Charge Sharing

What happens when you connect two capacitors together
(without any connection to a supply)


- Charge must be conserved

$$
C_{1} V d d=\left(C_{1}+C_{2}\right) V_{\text {final }}
$$

$$
V_{\text {final }}=V d d * C_{1} /\left(C_{1}+C_{2}\right)
$$

- So either

C1 >> C2; both nodes become $1 \gg$ requires approx $4 x$ ratio
C2 >> C1; both nodes become 0
otherwise you will get an undefined value.

## Driven Charge Sharing

You can get charge sharing even if you are driving the node


If the resistance of the switch is small compared to the driving transistor, and C2 is larger than C 1 , then there is momentarily a resistive divider.

- C2 will first drive C1 to Gnd

Then (more slowly) the pMOS will drive both capacitors high


## Need Switch-Level Simulation

Need some way to check the circuits to see if we built them correctly

- Nice if the method could handle all legal switch circuits.
- Want to find common bugs in circuits, yet not produce false errors.
- Be fast and easy to use.

Tool should answer the questions:

- Does this pile of transistors do the logic function that I want?
- Are there any sneak paths, floating outputs?

Switch-level simulation is one good way to answer these questions.

- Uses the same type of model that we have been talking about in class:
- Nodes are modelled as capacitors
- Values on the nodes are $0,1, \mathrm{X}$
- Transistor is modelled as a switch in series with a resistor, where the value of resistor depends on the type of transistor and the quantized signal values. (i.e. nMOS resistance lower for driving to gnd than for pulling up to vdd; pMOS resistance lower for pulling up)


## + Switch-Level Simulation Model

Network model


Now what?
Build RC networks and solve

## + Switch Level Evaluation

To find a value in a switch network

1. Build a cluster of connected transistors

Walk out from a node through all the on transistors
2. Replace all transistors by their equivalent resistance
3. Replace all nodes by a capacitor, charged to the old value
4. Solve the RC circuit for final value, delay





## + Simulation Algorithm

## For each event where a node changes:

1. Update the changed node to new value.
2. For each transistor with a gate connected to a changed node:
3. Find the transistor cluster.
4. Find the new dc values of the nodes in cluster.
5. If any of the values are different from previous value, find delay for that node changing and schedule the node to change after the delay to the new value in future.

Step 2.2 is hard because of $X$ values on the gate of transistors. These values mean the simulator must work with voltage, resistance, and capacitance ranges: [min_possible_value, max_possible_value]

## irsim

irsim will calculate:

1. Final voltage for each node in the circuit, correctly handling all ratios. All R,C, and intermediate voltage ranges are floating point computations. Quantizes to $0,1, \mathrm{X}$ state only at the end of each event.
2. Delay (Quantized to 0.1 nS for efficiency in scheduling events)

Uses a better model than the one we have discussed in class.
But it is conceptually similar
3. Correct charge sharing (even when the node is partially driven)

Of course, because it is an approximation, the program is not perfect.

- Sometimes too generous with $X$ values
propagation of $X$ values can be too fast
- Some legal circuits will not simulate (but most digital circuits will be ok)

But no tool is perfect, and irsim is fairly robust.

## Using irsim

Read the manual page and the irsim hints page

- Manual page has complete list of commands, you really should read.

The two required input files are a transistor parameter file (called .prm format) and the network connection file (called .sim format). Can also put multiple command files (preceded by '-') on the command line using the same commands as are listed for interactive use.

The .sim network description file is flat (no hierarchy), and has a simple format (documented in the .sim manual page). Each line is one of: n gate_node source_node drain_node length $[\mu]$ width $[\mu]$ p gate_node source_node drain_node length $[\mu]$ width $[\mu]$ C node cap_value[fF] (adds cap between node and gnd)
C node1 node2 cap_value[fF] (adds cap between node1 and gnd, and another cap between node2 and gnd)

Capacitors need only be added to model the wiring interconnect. The irsim program will automatically add gate loading (and source/drain loading too, depending on the diffext parameter in the .prm file)

## irsim Example

Files simulated in class:



## + irsim Difficulties

There are two kinds of problems that irsim has trouble handling:

## 1. Transistor loops

In this structure there are a set of transistors that form a loop, and neither end of the loop is a power supply. In this case the loop will be broken, (to convert it to a tree) and the simulation will continue. Note that multiple paths to supplies are ok, as are single transistor loops (CMOS transmission gates)

2. Self-connected transistors

These structures have the gate of a transistor connected to the same cluster as one of the outputs of the circuit. Since irsim needs to set the inputs to figure out the outputs, the program can have problems with this type of circuit. This circuit rarely comes up, except for the 6T XOR gate.



[^0]:    1. The metric that I will use in class, resistance/square for transistors, and capacitance/micron don't change much with technology scaling. For a $0.25 \mu$ technology Rsq of a nMOS device is 15 K , pMOS is 36 K , which is similar to the $1 \mu$ numbers. The cap/micron numbers are nearly the same. The reason the gates get faster is that the cap/lambda goes down, so the cap of a 10:2 device scales down, while the resistance remains constant.
[^1]:    1. The result can be found by solving Laplace's equation in cylindrical coordinates. In this space the

    E- field falls off as $1 / r$ (where $r=b / a$ ), and thus the voltage (integral of the field) varies as the log of the distance.

